

9/13/2025

This article was published to MyCatbirdSeat.com in 2013. A member of Maniac Nebula copied the text you see below. It should be noted that the original article had pictures and videos inserted, especially where there are brackets. MyCatbirdSeat is now defunct, and that's where the original pictures were housed, therefore we don't have them to illustrate. There were great charts and diagrams. Nonetheless, the story is relevant. Also, in the original article, there were hyperlinks in order to easily reference various claims. Those are also gone. You can do your own research, though. The story of the Chertoff brothers is especially troubling all these years later. Michael was a leader in Homeland Security, and his brother, Benjamin, was responsible for the pseudo-science of "9/11: Debunking the Myths" in Popular Mechanics. It's been 24 years since Nine Eleven, and people still have questions. This is a piece of the puzzle. You can Google "Greg Felton" and find separate videos and books he's done regarding Israel in connection to the events of September 11, 2001. The role of AIPAC is discussed in this article, and AIPAC has reached national headlines in recent months. Marjorie Taylor Greene is one of the few members of Congress not to accept AIPAC money. AIPAC has incredible influence over Democrats, Republicans, and Donald Trump. Kim Iversen recently speculated that the murder of Charlie Kirk could've stemmed from his refusal to accept a trip to Israel by the AIPAC lobby.

— Necro Bot

"It is better to debate a question without settling it than to settle a question without debating it..." — Joseph Joubert

"Was 9/11 an Inside Job, or a Mossad Job?"

Laurent Guyénot July 16, 2013

The role of the Israeli Deep State in the Sept. 11th terror attacks, which have laid the foundation for the 21st century on the biggest lie in human history, is surrounded by a taboo even within the so-called *9/11 Truth Movement*.

Most activists groups remain focused on the slogan "*9/11 was an Inside Job*", and keep their eyes "wide

shut” on the evidence incriminating the secret services of the Jewish State. Laurent Guyénot reviews the key evidence, and questions the process of denial.

As the role of Israel and its powerful lobby in the recent destabilization of the Middle-East becomes clearer, the idea that a gang of Israeli likudniks, helped by their moles in the U.S. administration, are responsible for the false flag 9/11 attacks, is gaining ground. Francesco Cossiga, President of Italy between 1985 and 1992, said in 2007 to the newspaper *Corriere della Sera*, that it was well known in informed circles in America and Europe that the September 11th attack “was planned and executed by the American CIA and Mossad with the help of the Zionist world in order to blame the Arab countries, and to persuade the Western powers to intervene in both Iraq and Afghanistan”. Alan Sabrosky, a professor at the U.S. Army War College and the U.S. Military Academy, published in July 2012 an article entitled “Demystifying 9/11: Israel and the Tactics of Mistake”, where he explained his conviction that September 11th was “*a classic Mossad-orchestrated operation*” carried out with the complicity of the U.S. government, in order to lead the United States into a “*war of civilizations*” against the enemies of Israel — which is to say, against the Arab-Muslim world as a whole

[Those who dare raise suspicions about Israel’s involvement in 9/11 are quickly marginalized as outcasts, as Thierry Meyssan has experienced after his groundbreaking work in exposing The Big Lie less than a year after the event.]

Suspicion of Mossad guilt does not stem only from the reputation of the world’s most powerful secret service, which a report of the U.S. Army School for Advanced Military Studies (SAMS), quoted by the *Washington Times*, September 10th, 2001, described as “*Wildcard. Ruthless and cunning. Has capability to target U.S. forces and make it look like a Palestinian/Arab act*”. The Mossad involvement, together with other Israeli elite units, can be demonstrated by several little known facts. These facts have been compiled by Justin Raimondo, editorial director of Antiwar.com, in *The Terror Enigma: 9/11 and the Israeli Connection*, 2003, and by Christopher Bollyn in more than a hundred articles, synthesized in *Solving 9-11: The Deception that Changed the World* (2012), but they have received little attention in most 9/11 Truth investigative books and websites.

200 Israeli pseudo-spies

Few people know, for example, that at the time of the attacks, the American federal police were busy dismantling the largest Israeli spy network ever caught on U.S. soil. In March 2001, the National CounterIntelligence Center (NCIC) posted this message on its website: “*In the past six weeks, employees in federal office buildings located throughout the United States have reported suspicious activities connected with individuals representing themselves as foreign [Israeli] students selling or delivering artwork*”. The NCIC states that, “*these individuals have also gone to the private residences of senior*

federal officials under the guise of selling art”.

Then in the summer, after a number of incidents of this type in its premises, the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) compiled a report which would be revealed to the public by the *Washington Post* on November 23rd, 2001, followed by a Carl Cameron’s four-part documentary broadcast on Fox News from December 11th, 2001. On March 14th, 2002, an article in *Le Monde* signed by Sylvain Cypel also referred to the report, shortly before the French magazine *Intelligence Online* made it fully accessible on the Internet. The DEA report listed 140 Israelis, aged between 20 and 30, arrested since March 2001. Organized in twenty teams of four to eight members, they visited at least “36 sensitive sites of the Department of Defense”. Many of them were identified as members of the Mossad, and six were in possession of phones paid for by a former Israeli Vice Consul. Sixty arrests have occurred after September 11th, bringing the total number of Israeli spies arrested to 200. Those who were subjected to a lie detector test failed. However, thanks to a decision that would come from the Attorney General John Ashcroft, all were eventually released.

The report concluded that, “*the nature of the individuals’ conducts [...] leads us to believe the incidents may well be an organized intelligence gathering activity*”. However, the nature of the intelligence gathered remains mysterious. It could well be that espionage was not their primary mission, when one considers the training received by some in the Israeli army, according to the DEA report: “*A majority of those questioned has stated they served in military intelligence, electronic signal intercept, or explosive ordnance units. Some have been linked to high-ranking officials in the Israeli military. One was the son of a two-star general, one served as the bodyguard to the head of the Israeli Army, one served in a Patriot mission unit*”. Another, Peer Segalovitz, under-officer in the 605 Battalion of the Golan Heights “*acknowledged he could blow up buildings, bridges, cars, and anything else that he needed to*”. It may be that this espionage activity — as ostentatious as it was unproductive, apparently — was really a secondary cover behind their primary cover as “art students”, the art-student cover being not intended to deceive anyone but rather to draw attention to their secondary cover.

Why would these Israeli agents need to be labeled as spies? On possible answer is suggested by a crucial detail mentioned in the DEA report: “*The Hollywood, Florida, area seems to be a central point for these individuals*”. Of the 140 fake Israeli students identified before the attacks, more than 30 lived in or near the city of Hollywood, Florida (140,000 inhabitants), exactly where 15 of the 19 alleged Islamist hijackers had regrouped (9 in Hollywood, 6 in the vicinity). One of the “art students” arrested, Hanan Serfaty — actually an Israeli intelligence officer who handled at least \$100,000 in three months — rented two Hollywood apartments close to the apartment of Mohamed Atta. What were the relations between the Israeli spies and the Islamist terrorists? The explanation relayed by the press is that the Israelis were there only to monitor the terrorists. Israel comes out only slightly tainted, since a spy agency cannot be reasonably blamed for not sharing information. At most Israel can be accused of “letting it happen”, a guarantee of impunity. The information is minimized in the mind of the public by the absence of any follow-up, according to the principle of the “media vaccine”. This could explain the spy activity of the “Israeli art students” as a secondary cover, designed to

explain away their link to the Islamist terrorists: they were Israeli false flag terrorist experts dressed as Israeli spies dressed as Israeli art students, their cover as art student being really designed to draw attention on their undercover as spies monitoring al-Qaeda.

In reality, they were not spying of these so-called terrorists, but manipulating them, funding them, and ultimately making them disappear. These patsy terrorists' relationship to Israeli secret services is thus very similar to Oswald's relationship to the CIA. An article in the *New York Times* on February 18th, 2009, established that Ali al-Jarrah, cousin of the alleged hijacker of Flight UA93 Ziad al-Jarrah, had spent 25 years spying for the Mossad as an undercover agent infiltrating the Palestinian resistance and Hezbollah since 1983. He is currently in prison in Lebanon. Recall also that the "Mohamed Atta" in Florida was a fake. The real Mohamed Atta, who called his father after the attacks, was described by his family as reserved, pious, not solicitous of women and having a fear of flying. He had had his passport stolen in 1999 while studying architecture in Hamburg. The false Mohamed Atta in Florida was living with a stripper, ate pork, loved fast cars, casinos and cocaine. As has been reported by the *South Florida Sun-Sentinel* on September 16 (Jody Benjamin, "Suspects' Actions Don't Add Up"), he got drunk and intoxicated and paid for the services of several prostitutes in the weeks and days prior to September 11th, along with four other suicide bombers who had similar behavior — incompatible with the Islamic preparation for death.

[Ehud Barak, former chief of the Israeli military Intelligence (Sayeret Matkal) was Prime Minister from July 1999 to March 2001. When replaced by Sharon, he took a job as adviser for Electronic Data Systems and for SCP Partners, a front company specialized in security, known as a front for Mossad, and with access to high tech explosives such as nano-thermite through its partners Metallurg Holdings and Advanced Metallurgical. SCP Partners had an office in the town of Englewood, New Jersey, less than 7 miles from Urban Moving Systems (see below). One hour after the explosion of the North Tower, Barak was on BBC World to point to bin Laden as prime suspect and demand immediate retaliation against Afghanistan.]

Dancing Israelis

One particular event makes the connection between Mossad and 9/11 hard to dismiss. It was reported the day after the attacks by the journalist Paulo Lima in the regional newspaper of Bergen County, New Jersey, *The Record*, based on "sources close to the investigation". Immediately after the first impact on the North Tower, three individuals were seen on the roof of a van parked at Liberty State Park in Jersey City, "celebrating", and "jumping up and down", taking pictures with the twin towers in the background. The suspects then moved their van to another parking spot in Jersey City, where other witnesses saw them deliver the same ostentatious celebrations. The police soon issued a BOLO alert (be-on-the-look-out): "Vehicle possibly related to New York terrorist attack. White, 2000 Chevrolet van with New Jersey registration with 'Urban Moving Systems' sign on back seen at Liberty State Park, Jersey City, NJ, at the time of first impact of

jetliner into World Trade Center. Three individuals with van were seen celebrating after initial impact and subsequent explosion”.

The van was intercepted around 4 pm, with five young men inside, all Israelis: Sivan and Paul Kurzberg, Yaron Shmuel, Oded Ellner and Omer Marmari. Before a question was even asked, the driver, Sivan Kurzberg, burst out strangely: *“We are Israelis. We are not your problem. Your problems are our problems. The Palestinians are your problem”*. The Kurzberg brothers were formally identified as members of the Mossad. Police sources interviewed by Paulo Lima were convinced of Israeli involvement in the morning’s attacks: *“There are maps of the city in the car with certain places highlighted. It looked like they’re hooked in with this. It looked like they knew what was going to happen when they were at Liberty State Park”*. They were found to carry passports of different nationalities, \$6,000 in cash, and open plane tickets for abroad. The five Israelis officially worked for a moving company (a classic cover for espionage) named Urban Moving Systems. An employee of the company told *The Record* that the majority of his colleagues were Israeli and that they were happy upon hearing of the attacks: *“I was in tears. These guys were joking and that bothered me”*. On September 14th, after a visit from the police, the business owner, Israeli-American Dominik Otto Suter, fled the country for Tel Aviv.

[Yaron Shmuel has a LinkedIn profile that boasts his “explosives” and “secret services” expertise and experience.

His Facebook account mentions that he got married on September 11, 2002 —the first anniversary of the “Big Wedding”, as 9/11 is sometimes referred to in Israeli circles, for the special bonding it created with the U.S.]

The information disclosed by *The Record* was verified and taken up and by investigative sites like *The Wayne Madsen Report* (September 14, 2005) and *Counterpunch* (February 7, 2007). It was also reported in some mainstream media, but in a curtailed way that minimized its significance: *The New York Times* (November 21, 2001) forgot to mention the nationality of the five suspects, as did *Fox News* and *Associated Press*. *The Washington Post* (November 23, 2001) did mention it, but not their apparent foreknowledge of the event, giving credence to their own excuse that they were merely happy that Americans will now feel empathy for Israel’s situation. Only *The Forward*, the magazine of New York Jewish community (March 15, 2002) revealed their Mossad connection, quoting an anonymous U.S. Intelligence source to the effect that Urban Moving Systems was a front company for the Mossad (and yet had received a \$ 498,750 federal loan, as its tax records show).

[Omer Marmari, Oded Ellner, and Yaron Shmuel, three of the five “dancing Israelis”, invited on an Israeli TV talk show after their return home in November 2001. “Our purpose was simply to document the event”, ingenuously declared one of them.]

The 579-page FBI report on the investigation that followed (partially declassified in

2005, fully in 2035), revealed several important elements. First, once developed, the photos taken by the suspects while watching the North Tower on fire confirm their attitudes of celebration: *"They smiled, they hugged each other and they appeared to 'high five' one another"*. To explain their contentment, the suspects said they were simply happy that, thanks to these terrorist attacks, *"the United States will take steps to stop terrorism in the world"*. Yet at this point, most Americans believed the crash was an accident. Besides, at least one witness saw them positioned to watch the scene at 8 am, *before* the first plane hit the WTC, while others confirmed seeing them immediately after. A former employee of Urban Moving Systems testified about the anti-American mentality prevailing in the company, quoting one Israeli employee as saying to Americans: *"Give us twenty years and we'll take over your media and destroy your country"*. The five Israelis from the van were also found to be connected to another removal company called Classic International Movers, which employed five other Israelis arrested for their contacts with the nineteen presumed suicide hijackers. In addition, one of the five suspects had called *"an individual in South America with authentic ties to Islamic militants in the middle east"*. Finally, the FBI report states that the *"The vehicle was also searched by a trained bomb-sniffing dog which yielded a positive result for the presence of explosive traces"*.

After all this incriminating evidence comes the most puzzling passage of the report: its conclusion that *"the FBI no longer has any investigative interests in the detainees and they should proceed with the appropriate immigration proceedings"*. In fact, a letter addressed to the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service, dated September 25th, 2001, proves that, less than two weeks after the events, the FBI federal headquarter had already decided to close the investigation, asking that *"The U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service should proceed with the appropriate immigration proceedings"*. The five "dancing Israelis", also known as *"the high fivers"*, were detained 71 days in a Brooklyn prison, where they first refused, then failed, lie detector tests, before being quietly returned to Israel under the minimal charge of "visa violation".

[Michael Chertoff, son of a rabbi and of a Mossad pioneer, was heading the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice in 2001. As such, he was responsible for the retention and destruction of all the material evidence regarding the 9/11 attacks, from the steel beams of the WTC to the video recordings at the Pentagon. He was also responsible for the quick repatriation of the "dancing Israelis". In 2003, he was appointed Secretary of Homeland Security, in charge of counter-terrorism on the American soil, which allowed him to control dissenting citizens and restrain access to the evidence under the pretext of Sensitive Security Information.]

What remains the most puzzling aspect of this whole story is the recklessly ostentatious behavior of these young Israelis undercover agents, high-fiving on the top of their van. One possible explanation comes from a rarely mentioned detail: it seems that several witnesses mentioned that they looked Middle-Eastern. One anonymous call to the police in Jersey City, reported the same day by *NBC News*, mentioned *"a white van, 2 or 3 guys in there. They look like Palestinians and going around a building. [...] I see the guy by Newark Airport mixing some junk and he has those sheikh uniforms. [...] He's dressed*

like an Arab". It was this call that led to the interception of the van and the arrest of the five Israelis. Two hypotheses come to mind, here. Either they were indeed dressed up as Arab/Palestinian/Muslim, or the anonymous witness was an accomplice. The second hypothesis seems more likely for two reasons: first, neither the police nor the FBI report mention any middle-eastern clothes found in the van. Second, the anonymous caller falsely said that the van was heading toward Holland Tunnel, whereas it was intercepted on Lincoln Tunnel, only because the police decided to block all access between New York and New Jersey. In either case, there is the obvious intention to start a rumor that Arabs had been seen rejoicing at the attacks and behaving suspiciously. If police hadn't spotted the van, the story would have circulated around the world on mainstream TVs under the title: "The Arab Dancers".

The New York network

An operation such as this requires, outside of government, the technical participation of powerful people, super-*sayanim* so to speak. *Sayanim* ("collaborators" in Hebrew) are Jews living outside Israel and known to the Mossad as potentially ready to give a hand, in the form of an unlawful action, without necessarily knowing the entire operation. According to the renegade agent Victor Ostrovsky (*By Way of Deception*, 1990), there are thousands of *sayanim* in the United States, especially in New York, the capital of Jewish Americans. Larry Silverstein, the WTC leaseholder, appears as the archetype *sayan* of September 11th. He is a leading member of the United Jewish Appeal Federation of Jewish Philanthropies of New York, the largest American fundraiser for Israel (after the U.S. government itself). He is also an intimate friend of Benjamin Netanyahu, with whom he converses by telephone every Sunday, according to the Israeli newspaper *Haaretz*. Silverstein's partner in the WTC lease was, for the underground shopping center, Frank Lowy, another Zionist "philanthropist" close to Ehud Barak and Ehud Olmert, and former member of the Haganah. The head of the New York Port Authority, who granted the lease to Silverstein and Lowy, is none other than Lewis Eisenberg, another member of the United Jewish Appeal Federation and former Vice-President of AIPAC.

[Lucky Larry! Every morning of the week, Larry Silverstein had breakfast at the Windows on the World on top of the North Tower, until September 11th, when he had an appointment with his dermatologist.]

Other members of the New York network can be easily identified. According to the NIST report, the Boeing which crashed into the North Tower "*cut a gash that was over half the width of the building and extended from the 93rd floor to the 99th floor. All but the lowest of these floors were occupied by Marsh & McLennan, a worldwide insurance company, which also occupied the 100th floor*". The CEO of Marsh & McLennan is Jeffrey Greenberg, member of one of the richest Jewish dynasty who contributed heavily to George W. Bush's 2000 campaign. The Greenbergs were also the insurers of the Twin Towers and, on the 24th of July 2001, they took the precaution of having the contract reinsured by competitors, who had to indemnify Silverstein and Lowy after 9/11, and yet were not entitled to investigate themselves. And since this is a small world, in November 2000, Lewis Paul Bremer joined the Board of Directors of Marsh & McLennan. On

September 11th, 2001, Bremer, we recall, would be the Chairman of the National Commission on Terrorism appearing on NBC to name Bin Laden as prime suspect and, in 2003, he would be appointed as Administrator of the Coalition Provisional Authority in Iraq. Under his leadership, 9 billion dollars disappeared in fraud, corruption and embezzlement, according to a report by the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, Stuart Bowen, published January 30th, 2005.

[Lewis Paul Bremer on NBC two hours after the collapse of the North Tower on September 11th, calm and relaxed, as 400 of his employees are missing (295 will finally be declared dead).]

There must have been other accomplices to the 9/11 false flag attack in the airports and airlines involved in the attacks. Both airports from which flights AA11, UA175 and UA93 took off (Logan Airport in Boston and Newark Airport near New York) subcontracted their security to International Consultants on Targeted Security (ICTS), a firm based in Israel and headed by Menachem Atzmon, a treasurer of the Likud. A serious investigation would likely expose many other people involved, not necessarily with the full knowledge of the operation; on September 27th, 2001, the *Washington Post* reported that, “officials at instant-messaging firm Odigo confirmed today that two employees received text messages warning of an attack on the World Trade Center two hours before terrorists crashed planes into the New York landmarks”. The first plane hit the WTC “almost to the minute”, confirmed Alex Diamandis, Vice-President of Odigo, headquartered in Israel. Even more disturbing is the behavior of the American branch of *Zim Israel Navigational*, a maritime shipping giant 48% owned by the Jewish state (occasionally used as a cover for the Israeli secret service), which moved its offices from the WTC, along with its 200 employees, September 4th, 2001, one week before the attacks — “like an act of God, we moved”, said the CEO Shaul Cohen-Mintz when interviewed by *USA Today*, November 17th, 2001.

All the facts mentioned above give new meaning to the words of Bob Graham, pseudo-whistleblower of the 9/11 Commission, claiming to have “evidence that there were foreign governments involved in facilitating the activities of at least some of the terrorists in the United States”. Speaking in a PBS interview in December 2002, Graham, of course, was referring to Saudi Arabia. He repeated the charge in his book *Intelligence Matters: The CIA, the FBI, Saudi Arabia, and the Failure of America's War on Terror* (2004), denouncing the government censorship of 28 pages of the Commission's report dealing with Saudi support to terrorists. Why would the Saud family have helped Osama bin Laden after stripping him of his Saudi nationality and putting price on his head for his attacks on their soil? “The answer I have come to”, says Graham, “is survival — survival of the state and survival of the House of Saud”. Or, as he explained more clearly in July 2011: “the threat of civil unrest against the monarchy, led by al-Qaeda”. This ridiculous theory (which Graham, lacking arguments, developed in a novel) has only one purpose: to divert suspicion away from the only “foreign government” whose links with terrorist suspects are out in the open: Israel (an enemy of Saudi Arabia, as it happens).

The conspiracy within the conspiracy

In asserting further that the Saudi connection was stifled because of “*the special personal friendship between the [Saudi] royal family and the highest levels of our national government* [meaning President Bush]”, Graham is using George W. Bush as a fuse, a lightning rod, or a human shield. This is a clearly identifiable damage control strategy of the neocons. In their book *An End to Evil: How to Win the War on Terror* (2003), Richard Perle and David Frum argue that “*the Saudis qualify for their own membership in the axis of evil*”, and implore President Bush to “*tell the truth about Saudi Arabia*”, namely that the Saudi princes finance al-Qaeda. These fabricated rumors of Saudi involvement in 9/11 are, in fact, indicative of a power struggle between several players within the Deep State.

The commercial partnership forged by the Bushs with Saudi Arabia is notorious. It started when CIA Director George H.W. Bush first traded with the bin Mahfouz and the bin Ladens, through a company of aircraft brokerage entrusted to Jim Bath. Commercial exchanges were broadened during the Gulf War, which allowed President Bush to pose as protector of Saudi Arabia. The Carlyle Group, of which George Bush is a shareholder, played a central role, and is notoriously linked with a nephew of King Fahd. A scandal broke out in March 2001, during one of Bush’s visits to Saudi Arabia, as acting head of the Carlyle Group. The nature of his meeting with King Fahd raised questions: was this a diplomatic meeting, private business travel, or both? On the same occasion, the former President also met the bin Laden family, in business with Carlyle since 1990. On September 11th, 2001, George Bush and Shafiq bin Laden (Osama’s half-brother) were holding a meeting of the Carlyle Group in Washington, with several hundred investors in attendance. The news caused considerable embarrassment for the Bush family. In the following week, at the request of the Saudi ambassador to Washington Bandar Bin Sultan (nicknamed Sultan Bush because of his close ties to the President’s family), and in violation of the flight ban maintained by the FAA (Federal Aviation Administration), a Boeing 747 from Saudi Arabian Airlines was allowed to leave the United States, carrying 140 Saudis, including Shafiq bin Laden and twenty members of his family.

The idea of a conspiracy emanating from inside the Bush administration, which is the common wisdom of the 9/11 Truth Movement, faces a major contradiction: if the responsibility of Osama bin Laden is a prefabricated lie, so are the elements that are potentially embarrassing for the Saudi state, and indirectly for the Bush family. The involvement of the Bush clan in the organization of the September 11th scheme (and not only in its cover-up) is probable, given the role played by the President’s brother, Marvin Bush, and his cousin Wirt Walker III, through their company Securacom; but the choice of bin Laden as a patsy does not seem very wise, especially if the objective was to divert suspicion away from the Bush family. This paradox can be resolved if we consider that a complex operation like 9/11, designed to change dramatically the course of world history, necessarily involves several powerful networks, whose interest do not necessarily coincide totally, and who hold each other hostage after the operation. It is impossible, at this stage, to know exactly who knew what and who did what, but it is easy to imagine that some people who signed in the plot (the Bush clan, to speak broadly) were outsmarted by the real masterminds, believing that they were allowing a limited harmless false flag (involving for example only two virtual planes and an explosion in the

Pentagon) for the limited purpose of invading Afghanistan and get the UNOCAL project going, while the smartest guys (the neocons and their Zionist network) raised the stakes by adding two planes into the WTC, and by forcing Bush II into the Iraq invasion that Bush I had refused in 1991, bullying even Colin Powell to support it by who knows what blackmail. It is hard to know where the greedy Rumsfeld and Cheney stood in this configuration, but we can clearly see Cheney taking over the government on 9/11, while the President has been pushed aside into a primary classroom in Florida.

To get a grasp of the multi-purpose dimension of the 9/11 operation, remember that, on September 10th, 2001, the day before the attacks, Donald Rumsfeld publicly announced that \$2.3 trillion were missing from the accounts of the Department of Defense for the 2000 year (25% of its annual budget), in addition to \$1.1 trillion missing for 1999; just for comparison, this is more than one thousand times the colossal losses of Enron, which triggered a chain of bankruptcies that same year. All this money evaporated into thin air under the watch of William Cohen, Defense Secretary during Bill Clinton's second term. In 2001, the man who was tasked to help track down the missing trillions was Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) Dov Zakheim, a member of PNAC and an ordained rabbi. Practically, the mystery had to be resolved by financial analysts at Resource Services Washington (RSW). Unfortunately, their offices were destroyed by "al-Qaeda" the following morning. The "hijackers" or Flight AA77, rather than hitting the command center on the eastern side of the Pentagon, chose to attempt an impossible downward spiral at 180° in order to hit the west side of the building precisely at the location of the accounting offices. The 34 experts at RSW perished in their offices, together with 12 other financial analysts, as is noted in the biography of the team leader Robert Russell for the National 9/11 Pentagon Memorial: "The weekend before his death, his entire office attended a crab feast at the Russell home. They were celebrating the end of the fiscal-year budget completion. Tragically, every person that attended that party was involved in the Pentagon explosion, and are currently missing".

[By an incredible coincidence, one of the financial experts trying to make sense of the Pentagon financial loss, Bryan Jack, was reported to have died at the precise location of his office, not because he was working there that day, but because he was on a business trip on Flight AA77. In the words of the Washington Post database: "Bryan C. Jack was responsible for crunching America's defense budget. He was a passenger on American Airlines Flight 77, bound for official business in California when his plane struck the Pentagon, where, on any other day, Jack would have been at work at his computer".]

It's the Oil, stupid!

The strategy of blaming 9/11 on the Bushs' business venture with the Saudis has worked so far, since the 9/11 Truth Movement has pursued Bush and Cheney with hostility while hardly breathing a word on Israel. Again, we see Machiavelli at work: accomplish your dirty ends through the actions of another, then turn popular vengeance against him. When, under mounting pressure from public opinion, the mainstream media will be forced to abandon the official story, the protest movement will have been already well infiltrated, and the slogan "9/11 was an inside job" will have prepared the public to turn

against Bush, Cheney and Rumsfeld, while the neocons will remain legally untouchable. As for Israel's implication, if it cannot be avoided, it will be minimized by Chomsky's good old sophistry, that Israel is after all only the 51st American State, controlled from Washington, so that, whatever evil Israel does, it can always claim: *America made me do it.*

[A particularly nasty and effective attempt to shift the blame away from Israel on Wall Street has been performed by film producer Aaron Russo who, six months before passing away from cancer, accused Nick Rockefeller (a minor and very distant figure in the dynasty, already dead by the time of Russo's interview) of having forewarned him of 9/11 one year in advance and explained it as part of the Wall Street elite's plan for a New World Order. Thus Russo was well prepared to meet Yahweh and answer the question: "What did you do for my chosen people before you died?"]

For Chomsky, responsibility for the destabilization of the Middle East falls on Washington, not Tel-Aviv. That the war in Iraq was for oil is, for him, so obvious that he dismisses even the need to argue: *"Of course it was Iraq's energy resources. It's not even a question"*. He has been joined by Alan Greenspan, director of the Federal Reserve, who likewise concedes *"what everyone knows: the Iraq war is largely about oil"* (*The Age of Turbulence*, 2007). Also among the supporters of the oil theory are environmentalists; but for them too it seems more of a personal conviction rather than a provable fact: *"I personally believe that there is a deep relationship between the events of 9/11 and peak oil, but it's not something I can prove"*, admits Richard Heinberg, a specialist in energy depletion, in the documentary *Oil, Smoke and Mirrors*.

[A Zionist in his youth, Noam Chomsky is now the guru of the radical left, who has remained there to hold the ground, while other radical leftists like Irving Kristol moved to the other far end of the political field.

Chomsky has always been hostile to any questioning of the official 9/11 story, as well as, curiously, to the quest for the truth of the Kennedy assassination.]

[Chomsky's alter-ego Howard Zinn takes a similar view of 9/11, known as the "blowback theory". His interview for The Real News can be summarized like this: "It's not important to investigate who did 9/11. What is important is to understand why bin Laden did it? (i.e. why people hate America in the Middle East)"]

The problem is that there is no indication that the oil lobby has encouraged the military intervention in Iraq. What oil companies had asked, rather, was the lifting of sanctions that prohibited them from dealing with Saddam's Iraq — the same they are now asking for Iran. Indeed, as James Petras has shown in *Zionism, Militarism and the Decline of US Power* (2008), *"'Big Oil' not only did not promote the invasion, but has failed to secure a single oil field, despite the presence of 160,000 US troops, 127,000 Pentagon/State Department paid mercenaries and a corrupt puppet régime"*. When in 2009 the licenses for exploitation were auctioned, it was Russia and China who grabbed the lion's share, with even France's Total coming ahead of U.S. companies.

Proponents of the oil thesis like to foreground Halliburton, which has doubled its income

in becoming the largest private contractor working for U.S. forces in Iraq. They rightly accuse Dick Cheney of having personally gained \$50 million in promoting Halliburton, after having served as its CEO from 1995 to 2000. However, Halliburton and Cheney's personal gains in Iraq have little to do with a national strategy for control of natural resources. Furthermore, Halliburton is not a petroleum company, but rather a civil engineering company that provides services to oil companies, as well as to armies. Besides, in the 1990s, even Halliburton (then under Cheney's leadership) had called for the lifting of sanctions on Iraq, Iran and Libya, and had even been charged a \$3.8 million fine for having bypassed said sanctions. Yes, Dick Cheney has blood on his bank account — and he is not alone — but the United States of America as a whole won nothing in the war in Iraq, which cost the American people a whopping \$3 trillion, according to lowest estimates (Joseph Stiglitz, *The Three Trillion Dollar War*, 2008). As for the Bushs, renowned oil sharks, there is no indication that they stood to make personal financial gain, not to mention the fact that the aggressiveness of neoconservative rhetoric against Saudi Arabia has hurt their interests. No, the oil does not explain the war in Iraq, nor does it explain the war in Afghanistan, nor does it explain the planned war against Iran. And it certainly does not explain the extraordinary discipline of corporate medias in their support of the 9/11 government myth.

[On the 4th of March, 2001, Fox TV broadcast the first episode of the series The Lone Gunmen, watched by 13 million Americans. Computer hackers working for a secret cabal within the government hijack a jet by remote control with the intent to crash it into one of the twin towers, while making it appear to have been hijacked by Islamic terrorists. At the last seconds, the pilots manage to regain control of the plane. The purpose of the failed operation was to trigger a world war under the pretext of fighting terrorism. Could this be another kind of “media vaccine”, meant to denigrate in advance conspiracy theories as inspired by fiction?]

Israel's false flag culture

Israel had the motive and the means for 9/11, but also the criminal history that goes with it, which needs to be recalled here. Its terrorist networks and secret services have a grand expertise in waging false flag attacks. Indeed, a world history of false flag operations would have to dedicate at least half of its pages to modern Israel, a nation less than a century old. The cast was formed even before the creation of the Jewish State, with the bombing of the King David Hotel, the headquarters of the British authorities in Jerusalem. The morning of July 22nd, 1946, six terrorists of the Irgun (the terrorist militia commanded by Menachem Begin, future Prime Minister) dressed as Arabs entered the building, and around the central pillar placed 225 kg of explosives hidden in milk churns, while others spread explosives along the access roads to the hotel to prevent emergency aid. When a British officer manifested his suspicion a gunfight broke out and the Irgun members fled, but not before igniting the explosives. The explosion killed 91 people, mostly British, but also 15 Jews.

The strategy was repeated in Egypt during the summer of 1954, with *Operation Susannah*. The goal was to compromise the Brit's withdrawal from the Suez Canal, demanded by Colonel Abdul Gamal Nasser with support from President Eisenhower.

Egyptian Jews trained in Israel bombed several British targets, then placed the blame on the Muslim Brotherhood, as to discredit Nasser in the eyes of the British and the Americans, and to generate antipathy against Egypt. The accidental detonation of an explosive device allowed the exposure of the conspiracy. The Defense Minister Pinhas Lavon was held responsible, even though he placed the blame on Colonel Benjamin Givli, Director of Military Intelligence (Aman). The scandal, known as the “Lavon Affair”, was largely overlooked in the Israeli and American media, and it was not until 2005 that the State of Israel publicly acknowledged its responsibility.

The most infamous and calamitous Israeli false flag operation came in June 1967 with the attack of the *USS Liberty*, an unarmed American vessel of the NSA stationed in international waters, which was bombed, strafed and torpedoed for 75 minutes by the Israeli army, with the obvious intent to leave no one alive on board, blaming the attack on the Egyptians, and drawing the U.S. into a war that would have quickly escalated into a new world war. I have already broached on this affair in an earlier article.

In 1986, Israel tried to make it seem that a series of terrorist orders were transmitted from Libya to various Libyan embassies around the world. According to former agent Victor Ostrovsky (*By Way of Deception*, 1990), the Mossad was using a special communication system code named “Trojan Horse” hidden inside enemy territory by Mossad commandos. The system acted as a relay station for faked transmissions originating from an Israeli ship, immediately retransmitted on a radio frequency used by the Libyan state. As the Mossad had hoped, the NSA intercepted and deciphered the transmissions, which were then interpreted as evidence that the Libyans were supporting terrorism, evidence that the Mossad would reinforce by providing the US more faked intelligence of their own. Israel’s strategy relied on Reagan’s promise for retaliation against any country caught in the act of supporting terrorism. As expected, the Americans fell into the trap, dragging with them the British and the Germans: April 14th, 1986, 160 American aircrafts dropped over sixty tons of bombs on Libya, targeting mainly airports and military bases. Among the civilian casualties on the Libyan side was Gaddafi’s adopted, four year old daughter.

[Isser Harel, founder of Israeli secret services (Shai in 1944, Shin Bet in 1948, Mossad until 1963) predicted in 1980, to the Christian Zionist Michael Evans, that Islamic terrorism would end up hitting America. “In Islamic theology, the phallic symbol is very important.]

Your biggest phallic symbol is New York City and your tallest building will be the phallic symbol they will hit”.

When repeating these words in 2004 (in an interview with Deborah Calwell and in his book *The American Prophecies, Terrorism and Mid-East Conflict Reveal a Nation’s Destiny*), hoped to make Harel pass as a prophet. It seems more rational to conclude that 9/11 was an idea born thirty years earlier within the Israeli Deep State.]

The manipulative capacity of the Mossad during that time can be further illustrated by two stories analyzed by Thomas Gordon in *Gideon’s Spies: the Secret History of the Mossad* (2009). On April 17th, 1986, a young Irish woman named Ann-Marie Murphy

boarded a flight from London to Tel Aviv, unknowingly carrying 1.5 pounds of Semtex. The man who had given her the bag was her fiancé, a Pakistani named Nezar Hindaoui, who was arrested while trying to find refuge at the Syrian Embassy. He had himself been manipulated by the Mossad, who would achieve their desired result: the Thatcher government broke off diplomatic relations with Syria.

In January 1987, the Palestinian Ismail Sowan, a Mossad mole who had infiltrated the PLO in London, was entrusted, by someone claiming to work for his PLO superior, with two suitcases packed with weapons and explosives. Ismail was informed of his Mossad contact by phone, who told him to take the next flight to Tel Aviv, from where they sent him back to London. What he didn't know is that the Mossad simultaneously denounced him to Scotland Yard as a suspect in a potential Islamist attack in London. He was picked up on his return to Heathrow Airport and charged on the basis of weapons found at his home. As a result, the Mossad found favor with the Thatcher government again.

A third story will make the pattern even clearer. After the attack of February 26th, 1993, against the WTC, the FBI arrested the Palestinian Ahmed Ajaj and identified him as a terrorist linked to Hamas, but the Israeli newspaper *KolHa'ir* showed that Ajaj had never been involved with Hamas or the PLO. According to the journalist Robert Friedman, author of an article in *The Village Voice* (August 3, 1993), Ajaj was actually nothing more than a petty crook arrested in 1988 for forging currency, sentenced to two and a half years in prison and released a year later thanks to a deal made with the Mossad, for whom he would then infiltrate Palestinian groups. Upon his release, Ajaj underwent a classic *sheep-dipping* by being once again briefly imprisoned, this time for trying to smuggle weapons for the Fatah into the West Bank. We have, therefore, with the bombing of the WTC in 1993, a prototype and precedent for September 11th, in which is demonstrated the deep involvement of Israel in false flag terrorism.

It is worth noting that, in December 1993, Philip Zelikow and John Deutch wrote an article entitled “Catastrophic Terrorism” in *Foreign Affairs*, where they speculated on what would have happened if the WTC bombing had been instead a nuclear attack: “*An act of catastrophic terrorism that killed thousands or tens of thousands of people and/or disrupted the necessities of life for hundreds of thousands, or even millions, would be a watershed event in America's history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented for peacetime and undermine Americans' fundamental sense of security within their own borders in a manner akin to the 1949 Soviet atomic bomb test, or perhaps even worse. [...] Like Pearl Harbor, the event would divide our past and future into a before and after. The United States might respond with draconian measures scaling back civil liberties, allowing wider surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects and use of deadly force*”.

Here we have, already, the reference to Pearl Harbor (a code-word for “false pretext” for any informed person) that will be recycled in the infamous September 2000 PNAC report *Rebuilding America's Defenses*, where the authors regret that “*the process of transformation*” they advocate for the military “*is likely to be a long one, absent of some catastrophic and catalyzing event — like a new Pearl Harbor*”.

The bombing of the Israeli embassy in Buenos Aires in 1992 killed 29 and wounded 242. It was immediately blamed on Hezbollah using a truck bomb. But the judge in charge concluded that the explosives had been placed inside the building, and revealed pressures and false testimonies from American Jews and Israelis in order to contradict him. When the Argentinian Supreme Court confirmed this thesis, Israeli diplomats accused the judges of anti-Semitism.

The list of Israeli false flag operations goes on, and it must be remembered that only the failed ones can be documented. On January 12th, 2000, according to the Indian magazine *The Week*, officers of Indian Intelligence arrested at Calcutta airport eleven Islamist preachers who were preparing to board a flight to Bangladesh. They were suspected of belonging to al-Qaeda and of intending to hijack the plane. They presented themselves as Afghans who had stayed in Iran before spending two months in India to preach Islam. Unfortunately for their story, they all had Israeli passports. The officer of the Indian Intelligence services told *The Week* that Tel Aviv “*exerted considerable pressure*” on Delhi to secure their release.

On October 12th, 2000, in the final weeks of Clinton’s presidency, the destroyer *USS Cole* en route to the Persian Gulf, was ordered from its homeport of Norfolk to refuel in the port of Aden in Yemen — a rather unusual procedure since these destroyers are generally supplied by a Navy tanker at sea. The captain of the ship expressed his surprise and concern: the *Cole* had recently filled up at the entrance of the Suez Canal, not to mention the fact that Yemen is a hostile zone. The *USS Cole* was in a docking maneuver when it was approached by a garbage disposal dinghy, which then exploded against the hull, killing 17 sailors and wounding 50. The two “suicide bombers” driving the dinghy also perished. The attack was immediately blamed on al-Qaeda, even though bin Laden did not take responsibility and the Taliban denied that he could have been involved. The accusation gave the United States a pretext to force the Yemeni President Ali Abdullah Saleh to cooperate in the fight against anti-imperialist Islamism, by closing three paramilitary camps on its territory. What’s more, a few weeks before the elections, the attack would become the *October Surprise* that brought Bush to power.

John O’Neill was put in charge of the investigation. An experienced counter-terrorism specialist at the FBI for twenty years, he had already investigated the WTC bombing in 1993. His team came to suspect that Israel had fired a missile from a submarine: the hole was indeed indicative of that type of munitions and inexplicable by the explosion of one dinghy. President Saleh shared the suspicions, and spoke in an interview with *Newsweek* regarding possibility that the attack was due to Israel, “*trying to spoil the U.S.-Yemeni Relationship*”.

O’Neill and his team suffered the hostility of the U.S. Ambassador Barbara Bodine and were forbidden to dive to fully inspect the damage. Finally, taking advantage of their trip home for Thanksgiving, Bodine refused them reentry to Yemen. The crew of the *USS Cole* was directed not to speak about the attack except to Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS). In July 2001, O’Neill resigned from the FBI. He was soon after offered a

position as head of security at the WTC that he was to hold from September 11th, 2001. His body was found in the rubble of the WTC after been missing for two days. As for Barbara Bodine, in 2003 she would integrate the corrupt team of the Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA) in Baghdad, under Lewis Paul Bremer.

Where does the list end? The New York Times and other news outlet reported that, on the 19th of September 2005, British SAS (part of the Special Forces) used tanks assisted by helicopters to tear down the prison of Basra in order to liberate two of its agents who were arrested on the same day after having forced a checkpoint, dressed as Arabs in a car full of arms, munitions, explosives and detonators. It is believed they were part of a false flag terror unit planning to explode bombs during a religious event in the center of Basra, in order to exacerbate religious conflicts between Shiites and Sunnites. Captain Masters, in charge of the investigation, died in Basra on the 15th of October.